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Fragile context in the northern part of Cameroon

- Insecurity in the northern part of Cameroon, bordering Nigeria.
- 588,131 IDPs, including 377,877 IDPs, 49,564 refugees outside camps and 130,690 returnees.
- 83% of displacement is due to armed conflict.
Experience of participatory approach in AAR - Context

- Midterm Evaluation: After Action Review
- German Federal Foreign Office
- $2,452,511 USD
- Target 15,000 beneficiaries, Refugees, IDP, Returnee and Host
- Location: Cameroon – (Nigeria Boarder)
- Multi-Sector intervention: WASH, Shelter, LFS, Protection and Education
- 2019 Cameroon HRP
Experience of participatory approach in AAR - Methodology

- Internal evaluation
- Participatory approach
- Qualitative method
  - Key Informant Interview
  - Focus Group Discussion
  - Document review
- Non-probability sampling
Experience of participatory approach in AAR - Challenges

- Adapting an evaluation designed before COVID 19 to COVID 19 era
- Access limitation to implementation zones
- COVID 19 restriction
- Displacement movement
- Use of technologies in data collection
- Conducting a remote AAR
Experience of participatory approach in AAR – Lessons

- Flexible/Adaptative process for a participatory approach in evaluation in fragile context.
  - Data collection method: direct field data collection - remote data collection.
  - Sampling adjusted to access constraints, COVID 19 restrictions and beneficiaries participation.
  - Remote online AAR
Self-reliance in fragile context -
AAR Keys lessons

- Multisector integrated approach is a key factor to strengthen self-reliance of affected population;
- Shifting integration from project perspective to a programme perspective, a driver of self-reliance;
- Connect the humanitarian responses with the development responses: Triple Nexus.
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